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#### Abstract

CDPPB [3-cyano- $N$-(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide] was recently described as the first centrally active, positive allosteric modulator of rat and human metabotropic glutamate receptor (mGluR) mGluR 5 subtype. We explored the structural requirements for potentiation of glutamate-induced calcium release in naturally expressed $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$ in cultured rat astrocytes and increasing affinity for the allosteric antagonist binding site by evaluating 50 analogues of CDPPB. In the fluorometric calcium assay, CDPPB exhibited an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of $77 \pm 15 \mathrm{nM}$ in potentiating $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$-mediated responses in cortical astrocytes and a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of $3760 \pm 430 \mathrm{nM}$ in displacing $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ methoxyPEPy binding in membranes of cultured HEK-293 cells expressing rat $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$. The structure-activity relationships showed that electronegative aromatic substituents in the para-position of the benzamide moiety of CDPPB increase potency. Both binding and functional activities were further increased with a halogen atom in the ortho-position of the 1-phenyl ring. These effects of substitution do not match those of either aromatic ring of MPEP [2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine] for the antagonist allosteric binding site. Combination of the optimal substituents and aromatic positions resulted in 4-nitro- N -(1-(2-fluorophenyl)-3-phenyl-1 H -pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (VU-1545) showing $K_{\mathrm{i}}=156 \pm 29 \mathrm{nM}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=9.6 \pm 1.9 \mathrm{nM}$ in the binding and functional assays, respectively.


## Introduction

Metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) play an important role in controlling neuronal excitability and synaptic transmission in the central nervous system (CNS) of the mammalian brain. ${ }^{1}$ As a result, mGluRs are potential targets for therapeutic intervention in a variety of neurological and psychiatric illnesses. ${ }^{1,2}$ In particular, agonists at the mGluR ${ }_{5}$ subtype could be useful as antipsychotic agents because of their ability to facilitate NMDA receptor function without causing NMDAmediated excitoxicity. ${ }^{3,4}$ Direct acting orthosteric mGluR 5 agonists, such as 3,5 -DHPG [3,5-dihydroxyglycine], have poor subtype selectivity and may cause unwanted side effects. ${ }^{5}$ In contrast, indirect agonists that act via allosteric potentiation of receptor function are predicted to be less problematic. ${ }^{4}$ In recombinant systems, these agents do not activate $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$ when added alone but shift the concentration-response for glutamate to the left. Furthermore, electrophysiological studies have shown that such agents cause an increase in the effect of threshold agonist concentrations in neurons in the hippocampus and subthalamic nucleus without inducing an effect by themselves. ${ }^{6}$ Discovery of selective positive allosteric modulators of mGluR ${ }_{5}$ raises the exiting possibility that such compounds will provide a novel approach for developing therapeutic agents that activate this glutamate receptor subtype. We have now reported discovery of three distinct series of allosteric potentiators of mGluR5. ${ }^{6-10}$ A fourth structural series with similar properties, represented by ADX-47273 [(S)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(3-(4-fluorophenyl)-[1,2,4]oxadiazol-5-yl)piperidine, 1], was recently presented. ${ }^{11,12}$ None of these compounds has intrinsic activity at the orthosteric
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Figure 1. Structures of the positive allosteric modulators ADX-47273 (1) and CDPPB (2) and the negative modulators MPEP(3), pyrazole $\mathbf{4 a}$, and pyrrole $\mathbf{4 b}$ of mGluR $_{5}$.
glutamate binding site but each potentiates the response of $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$ to activation by glutamate.

To date, one of the promising positive allosteric modulators of $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$ is CDPPB [3-cyano- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1 H -pyrazol-5yl)benzamide, 2]. This compound is centrally active and induces an 8 -fold maximal potentiation of glutamate-induced calcium release in cultured CHO cells expressing human $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$ with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of $27 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{9}$ Further, CDPPB reverses amphetamineinduced locomotor activity and impairment of acoustic prepulse inhibition in the rat, ${ }^{10}$ two behavioral models in which currently used antipsychotic agents are effective. ${ }^{2-4}$ However, the pharmacological and physicochemical properties of CDPPB are probably not optimal, considering that CDPPB was selected from a limited number of structural analogues. ${ }^{9,13}$ In addition,
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Figure 2. Structures of compounds $\mathbf{2 0}-\mathbf{5 0}$ (Table 1) and byproduct A (51) and B (52) (Table 2).

CDPPB lacks ideal selectivity because it is a weak antagonist at the $\mathrm{mGluR}_{8}$ receptor. ${ }^{10}$ CDPPB exhibits weak affinity for the antagonist MPEP [2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine, 3] allosteric binding site of $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$, as evidenced by inhibiting the binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ methoxyPEPy ${ }^{14}$ [3-methoxy-5-(pyridin-2ylethynyl)pyridine] with a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ of $2.7 \mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{10}$ To take advantage of the structure-activity relationships of the MPEP series, we wanted to establish whether the aromatic rings of CDPPB could mimic the aryl groups of MPEP and its congeners. It has already been shown that five-membered heterocyclic rings can substitute for the triple bond of MPEP. ${ }^{15}$ For example, the 1,3-diarylpyrazole 4a (Figure 1) binds to the MPEP binding site with an affinity of $530 \mathrm{nM} .{ }^{16}$ Replacing the pyrazole with a pyrrole ring and adding a $m$-fluorine atom to become compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ increased affinity 100 -fold. ${ }^{17}$ Other allosteric mGluR ${ }_{5}$ antagonists have demonstrated that allosteric action can be altered from negative to positive modulation with aromatic substitution. ${ }^{7}$ If the relevant binding site of CDPPB is identical to that of MPEP, it would be possible to control the type of modulation by simply applying the appropriate structural modifications. For example, shifting the 6-methyl group of MPEP to the adjacent 5-position (5-MPEP) ${ }^{18}$ completely abolishes the negative allosteric modulation and creates a neutral ligand, while retaining binding affinity for the MPEP site. ${ }^{19}$ Here, we present the results of structural modifications of CDPPB in the search for a more potent candidate for future development into a pharmacological tool or therapeutic agent. Part of this study was presented at the Fifth International Symposium on the Metabotropic Glutamate Receptor (Taormina, Italy, Sept 18-23, 2005). ${ }^{20}$

## Chemistry

We first investigated the effect of aromatic substituents in the benzamide moiety of CDPPB (Figure 2). In the previous series, Lindsley et al. ${ }^{9}$ demonstrated a detrimental effect of having a substituent in the ortho-position of the benzamide phenyl ring and little variation in activity with substituents in the meta- or para-position. Alkyl groups and particularly halogen atoms, such as fluoro or chloro atoms, in either the aromatic 3or 4 -positions of the benzamide seemed to cause a 10 -fold increase in activity. ${ }^{9}$ We expanded the scope of substituents in these positions to include groups with both strong electronegative and electropositive properties. Reacting 5-amino-1,3-phenyl- $1 H$-pyrazole with the appropriately substituted benzoic acids via their acid chlorides gave the desired benzamides $\mathbf{2 0}$ 29 (Scheme 1). Acid chlorides that were unavailable were prepared from the corresponding carboxylic acids using thionyl chloride. The corresponding 4-carboxamide and 4-carboxylic acid derivatives $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 1}$ were prepared by using terephthaloyl dichloride in excess, followed by quenching of the monosubstituted 4-chlorocarboxybenzamide with ammonium hydroxide or methanol, respectively (Scheme 2). Basic hydrolysis of the resulting methyl ester gave compound $\mathbf{3 1}$. The facile preparation of the pyrazole scaffold ${ }^{21}$ allowed us to explore the substitution effect on all three phenyl groups of CDPPB. Aryl-substituted 5-amino-1,3-diphenyl-1 H -pyrazoles ( $\mathbf{3 7} \mathbf{- 5 0}$ ), having a halogen
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atom, methoxy, or cyano group in one of the phenyl groups of CDPPB, were prepared by reacting substituted phenylhydrazines ${ }^{22}$ with substituted 1 -aminocinnamonitriles according to the method of Grandberg ${ }^{21}$ (Scheme 1). The latter were obtained by reacting the appropriate arylnitriles in base-catalyzed condensation with acetonitrile. ${ }^{23}$ Synthesis of 5-amino-1-(2-pyridyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazole (16) has been reported starting from benzoylacetonitrile ${ }^{24}$ in ethanol or hydrochloric acid ${ }^{25}$ or from 3-phenylpropynylnitrile. ${ }^{26}$ We obtained compound 16 in good yield using the method of Grandberg. ${ }^{21}$

In the case of benzamides with a cyano or nitro substituent, the amide condensation resulted in a mixture of three products, one of which was the desired compound. Isolation and
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Figure 3. Structures of compounds $53-60,64-66,69-71,73$, and 74-76 (Table 2).
characterization of the individual byproducts showed that they contained two benzoyl moieties in asymmetrical (A) and symmetrical (B) positions, respectively, as examplified by the 4-cyano compounds 51 and 52 (Figure 2). The presence of dimeric byproducts $A$ and $B$ in the reaction mixture was detected by proton NMR as the chemical shift of the pyrazole $4-\mathrm{CH}$ singlet appearing at $6.6-6.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ compared to $7.1-7.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the monosubstituted compounds. The formation of byproduct A is the result of a second benzoyl group reacting with the nitrogen atom in the pyrazole 2-position, followed by rearrangement of the pyrazole double bonds. This side reaction has been observed in the acylation of 5-amino-1-phenyl-3-methyl1 H -pyrazole. ${ }^{27}$ Formation of byproduct B is the result of a second benzoyl group replacing the amide hydrogen atom. A similar diacylation reaction in substituted pyrazoles has been seen in the acylation with 2-nitrobenzoyl chloride. ${ }^{28}$ Use of less than 1 equiv of acid chloride resulted in difficulties removing unreacted diphenylpyrazole from the product. The formation of byproduct B could be avoided by using the corresponding benzoic acids, instead of acid chlorides and $1,1^{\prime}$-cyclohexylcarbodiimide as water scavenger, but byproduct A was still formed, and overall yields were not improved.

Compounds 53-60 (Figure 3) were prepared from 5-amino1,3 -diphenyl-1H-pyrazole by the same methods. Permutation of the pyrazole nitrogen atoms was explored using 4 -amino-1,3-diphenyl-1 $H$-pyrazole (63) and 5-amino-1,4-diphenyl- 1 H pyrazole (68) as starting materials. These pyrazoles were obtained by reacting phenylhydrazine with $2^{\prime}$-phthalimidoacetophenone ${ }^{29}$ (Scheme 3) or 1-formyl-1-phenylacetonitrile ${ }^{30}$ (Scheme 4), respectively. The latter reaction has erroneously been reported to result in 3-amino-1,4-diphenyl-1 H -pyrazole with melting point $137-138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{30}$ In our hands, the resulting compound was identified as $\mathbf{6 8}$ with melting point 139-140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 31,32$ and the structure was confirmed by crystal crystallographic data (see Supporting Information). Condensation of these aminopyrazoles with substituted benzoyl chlorides as
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described for CDPPB gave the corresponding substituted $N$-pyrazolylbenzamides 64-66 and 69-71 in good yields. The triazolylpyrazole 73 was prepared by reacting phenylacetylene with the corresponding 5 -azidopyrazole 72 (Scheme 5A). An annelated phenylpyrazole was explored using 3 -amino-2-phe-nylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine (74) (Scheme 5B), easily obtained from 2-aminopyridine and $2^{\prime}$-bromoacetophenone using the Tschitschibabin reaction as described for the corresponding 2-(4methylsulfonylphenyl) derivative, ${ }^{33}$ followed by nitrosation. ${ }^{34}$ Condensation with the appropriate benzoyl chloride gave compounds $\mathbf{7 5}{ }^{35}$ and $\mathbf{7 6}$, respectively.

## Pharmacology

The results of displacement of the potent MPEP analogue $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ methoxyPEPy ${ }^{13}$ binding to membranes of cultured HEK-

Table 1. Displacement of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ MethoxyPEPy Binding in HEK Cells, Expressing Rat mGluR ${ }_{5}$ Receptors, and Potentiation of Glutamate-Induced Calcium Flux in Cultured Rat Astrocytes

| compound | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM})^{a}$ | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{b}$ | potent. ${ }^{c}$ | $\log P^{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CDPPB (2) | H | H | 3-CN | $3760 \pm 430$ (3) ${ }^{e}$ | $77 \pm 15$ (7) | 4.0 | 5.2 |
| 20 | H | H | H | $4180 \pm 200$ (3) | $203 \pm 35$ (4) | 4.4 | 4.0 |
| 21 | H | H | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $1290 \pm 130$ (3) | $102 \pm 21$ (4) | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| 22 | H | H | 3-CF3 | $1650 \pm 310$ (5) | $228 \pm 39$ (7) | 4.0 | 5.0 |
| 23 | H | H | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $2220 \pm 310$ (4) | $39 \pm 18$ (5) | 5.1 | 4.8 |
| 24 | H | H | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $780 \pm 230$ (3) | $64 \pm 18$ (7) | 5.0 | 4.1 |
| 25 | H | H | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $420 \pm 160$ (3) | $54 \pm 9$ (6) | 4.2 | 3.9 |
| 26 | H | H | $4-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | $265 \pm 63$ (3) | $18 \pm 6$ (6) | 4.6 | 5.3 |
| 27 | H | H | $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $990 \pm 130$ (3) | $38 \pm 11$ (6) | 3.7 | 6.5 |
| 28 | H | H | 4-CN | $1560 \pm 210$ (4) | $75 \pm 13$ (7) | 5.2 | 5.3 |
| 29 | H | H | $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $250 \pm 85$ (3) | $10.7 \pm 4.7$ (6) | 5.0 | 4.6 |
| 30 | H | H | $4-\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | $>10000$ | inactive |  | 5.0 |
| 31 | H | H | $4-\mathrm{COOH}$ | > 10000 | inactive |  | 5.0 |
| 32 | H | H | $3,5-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NT}^{e}$ | $65 \pm 27$ (3) | 3.3 | 4.3 |
| 33 | H | H | $3,4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | > 10000 | $3530 \pm 1310$ (3) | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| 34 | H | H | 3,5-OCH3 | > 10000 | $2040 \pm 350$ (3) | 5.9 | 3.9 |
| 35 | H | H | $3,4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $430 \pm 80$ (4) | $20 \pm 4$ (7) | 4.0 | 4.1 |
| 36 | H | H | $3,4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $160 \pm 40$ (4) | $13 \pm 5$ (6) | 5.2 | 4.7 |
| 37 | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | H | H | > 10000 | $2290 \pm 620$ (2) | 5.2 | 6.2 |
| 38 | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | H | $3200 \pm 490$ (3) | $600 \pm 100$ (3) | 5.9 | 4.0 |
| 39 | H | 2-F | H | $2700 \pm 430$ (3) | $104 \pm 12$ (3) | 3.4 | 5.0 |
| 40 | H | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | H | $500 \pm 210$ (3) | $62 \pm 8$ (3) | 3.9 | 4.4 |
| 41 | H | $2-\mathrm{Br}$ | H | $2600 \pm 700$ (3) | $200 \pm 67$ (3) | 4.3 | 4.7 |
| 42 | H | (2-py)f | H | > 10000 | inactive |  | 4.8 |
| 43 | H | $3-\mathrm{Br}$ | H | > 10000 | $400 \pm 150$ (3) | 4.2 | 4.9 |
| 44 | H | 3-CN | H | > 10000 | $117 \pm 12$ (4) | 5.4 | 3.8 |
| 45 | H | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | $2100 \pm 520$ (3) | $570 \pm 34$ (4) | 6.7 | 6.0 |
| 46 | H | 2-F | $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $160 \pm 30$ (3) | $9.6 \pm 1.9$ (3) | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| 47 | H | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $110 \pm 20$ (3) | $40 \pm 12$ (3) | 3.3 | 5.2 |
| 48 | H | $2-\mathrm{Br}$ | $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $120 \pm 30$ (5) | $61 \pm 8$ (3) | 4.4 | 5.2 |
| 49 | H | $2-\mathrm{Br}$ | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $230 \pm 80$ (3) | $68 \pm 24$ (3) | 3.9 | 5.3 |
| 50 | H | $2-\mathrm{Br}$ | $3-\mathrm{CN}$ | $230 \pm 70$ (4) | $50 \pm 10$ (3) | 3.5 | 5.6 |
| ADX-47273 (1) |  |  |  | NT ${ }^{g}$ | $170 \pm 60$ (2) | 3.8 | 3.1 |

${ }^{a}$ Inhibition constant for displacing [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ methoxyPEPy binding and standard error of the mean (SEM) from $n$ number of experiments. ${ }^{b}$ Effective concentration and SEM to reach $50 \%$ of the maximum potentiation from 200 nM glutamate. ${ }^{c}$ Maximum increase in glutamate-induced calcium release. ${ }^{d}$ Calculated lipophilicity using SciLogP v1.5 (Scivision, Burlington, MA). ${ }^{e}$ Not tested. ${ }^{f} \mathrm{~N}$-(1-(2-Pyridinyl)-3-phenyl-1 H -pyrazol-1-yl)benzamide. ${ }^{g}$ Reported IC 50 value $3700 \pm 400 \mathrm{nM}$ for displacing $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ MPEP binding in rat cortical membranes. ${ }^{11}$

Table 2. Displacement of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ MethoxyPEPy Binding in HEK Cells, Expressing Rat mGluR ${ }_{5}$ Receptors, and Potentiation of Glutamate-Induced Calcium Flux in Cultured Rat Astrocytes

| compd | RCONH-pyrazole ${ }^{a}$ | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM})^{b}$ | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})^{c}$ | potent. ${ }^{d}$ | $\log P^{e}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | 4-CN-phenyl dimer A | $5230 \pm 2000(3)^{f}$ | $43 \pm 6$ (4) | 3.6 | 7.4 |
| 52 | 4-CN-phenyl dimer B | $3050 \pm 1480$ (2) | $340 \pm 70$ (3) | 3.3 | 6.8 |
| 53 | 2- $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$-phenyl | > 10000 | > 10000 |  | 5.9 |
| 54 | 3-pyridyl-4- $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $3480 \pm 3$ (2) | $280 \pm 100$ (3) | 4.4 | 5.0 |
| 55 | 4-pyridyl | > 10000 | $2500 \pm 250$ (3) | 4.0 | 4.8 |
| 56 | cyclohex-1-enyl | $4470 \pm 910$ (4) | $3300 \pm 2200$ (3) | 3.8 | 4.8 |
| 57 | cyclohexyl | 10140 (1) | > 10000 |  | 4.2 |
| 58 | cyclopentyl | $11600 \pm 3400$ (4) | $3410 \pm 1240$ (3) | 3.7 | 4.3 |
| 59 | cyclopentylmethyl | > 10000 | 350 (1) | 1.8 | 4.3 |
| 60 | 4- $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$-cinnamoyl | $1810 \pm 470$ (3) | $560 \pm 46$ (3) | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| 64 | phenyl ${ }^{g}$ | > 10000 | > 10000 |  | 4.0 |
| 65 | 3-CN-phenyl ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | > 10000 | > 10000 |  | 3.6 |
| 66 | 4- $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$-phenyl ${ }^{\text {g }}$ | > 10000 | > 10000 |  | 2.9 |
| 69 | phenyl ${ }^{h}$ | $1170 \pm 440$ (4) | antagonist |  | 3.8 |
| 70 | 3-CN-phenyl ${ }^{h}$ | $1200 \pm 900$ (3) | antagonist |  | 3.6 |
| 71 | 4-NO2-phenyl ${ }^{h}$ | > 10000 | > 10000 |  | 4.3 |
| 73 |  | $5880 \pm 4400$ (3) | $2600 \pm 290$ (3) | 2.7 | 7.9 |
| 75 | phenyl ${ }^{i}$ | $3920 \pm 350$ (2) | antagonist |  | 3.0 |
| 76 | 3-CN-phenyl ${ }^{i}$ | $1990 \pm 200$ (4) | antagonist |  | 4.3 |

${ }^{a} N$-(1,3-Diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{b}$ Inhibition constant for displacing $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ methoxyPEPy binding and standard error of the mean (SEM) from $n$ number of experiments. ${ }^{c}$ Effective concentration and SEM to reach $50 \%$ of the maximum potentiation from 200 nM glutamate. ${ }^{d}$ Maximum increase in glutamate-induced calcium release. ${ }^{e}$ Calculated lipophilicity using SciLogP v1.5 (Scivision, Burlington, MA). ${ }^{f}$ Number of independent experiments. ${ }^{g} N$-(1,3-Diphenyl-1 $H$-pyrazol-4-yl)benzamide. ${ }^{h} N$-(1,4-Diphenyl-1 $H$-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide. ${ }^{i} N$-(2-Phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl)benzamide.

293 cells expressing rat mGluR $_{5}$ by the CDPPB analogues are shown in Tables 1 and 2. In this assay, CDPPB (2) had a $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ of $3.8 \pm 0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in concordance with the previously reported value of $2.6 \pm 0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in CHO cells expressing the human $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5} .{ }^{10}$ Monosubstituents in either the 3- or 4-position of the benzamide moiety, regardless of their electronic nature, increased binding
activity, except for $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ and COOH groups in the 4-position (Table 1). Comparison with the unsubstituted analogue (20) shows that the increase in binding activity by a $3-\mathrm{CN}$ group was only $11 \%$, while having the cyano group in the para-position (28) increased binding activity by $270 \%$. A $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ substitution (29) resulted in the highest activity. Additional increases were
seen with a halogen atom in the ortho-position of the 1-phenyl ring. The $2^{\prime}$-chloro-4-nitro analogue 47 had $K_{\mathrm{i}}=113 \pm 24 \mathrm{nM}$. In contrast, the 1-(2-pyridyl) analogue $\mathbf{4 2}$ was completely devoid of activity. Attempts to correlate the binding data with various QSAR models resulted in the nitro compounds being clustered as outliers. Compound $\mathbf{5 4}$ with a 3 -pyridinyl group replacing the benzamide ring combined with a 4-methyl group showed activity similar to having a phenyl ring with no substituents (20). The same result was reported for the corresponding 2-pyridinyl analogue. ${ }^{9}$ However, the 4-pyridinyl analogue 55 displayed no binding activity (Table 2). Thus, all tested compounds with an ortho-substituent in the benzamide moiety and all pyridinylcarboxamides proved to have weak or no activity. With the exception for halogen atoms in the orthoposition of the 1-phenyl ring, all substitutions in the pyrazole phenyl rings proved detrimental. This is in sharp contrast to the effects of aromatic substitution in the MPEP series of negative allosteric modulators, where meta-substituents increase binding activity to the MPEP site. ${ }^{14-18}$ For instance, in the MPEP series the binding activity increases with the introduction of a pyridyl ring in either aryl group, ${ }^{15,16}$ while decreasing drastically with substitution in the para-position. ${ }^{18}$ In the CDPPB series, introducing a $m$-cyano group ( $\mathbf{2}$ vs $\mathbf{2 0}$, Table 1) only marginally increased binding affinity, while a para-substituent (28) resulted in a substantial increase. Therefore, none of the aromatic rings of CDPPB seem to mimic the aromatic rings of MPEP, clearly showing that the structural requirement of the binding site of CDPPB is different from that of MPEP or methoxyPEPy.

The results of evaluating the analogues of CDPPB for their ability to potentiate glutamate-induced calcium release are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The activity of CDPPB at the rat mGluR $_{5}$ was 77 nM , compared to 27 nM seen at the human mGluR $5 .{ }^{10}$ This is in concordance with the reported differences between rat and human mGluR $5 .{ }^{9,10}$ Having no substituent in either meta- or para-position of the benzamide moiety (20) produced slightly less activity than that of CDPPB, as demonstrated by Lindsley et al. ${ }^{9}$ With the exception of having a $m$-trifluoromethyl group (22), electron-donating substituents tended to increase activity. Highest activity was obtained with a nitro group, particularly in the para-position (29), while a nitro group in the ortho-position of the benzamide moiety (53) completely abolished activity. In fact, having a nitro group in either meta- (23) or para-position (29) proved to be the most beneficial substitution. The electronically similar 4-carboxylic acid and 4-carboxamide analogues $\mathbf{3 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 1}$ were inactive. Interestingly, a single electropositive substituent, such as a methoxy group, in either meta- (21) or para-position (26) bestowed increased activity relative to that of CDPPB. However, two methoxy groups in either 3,4- (33) or 3,5-positions (34) reduced functional activity 20 - and 15 -fold, respectively, relative to having no substituent (20). In contrast, having two methyl groups (35) or chloro atoms (36) in the 3,4-positions of the benzamide resulted in 10- and 18 -fold increases, respectively. Lindsley et al. found the same result with two fluoro atoms in these positions. ${ }^{9}$ Replacing the aromatic benzamide ring with an aliphatic ring decreased activity. The cyclohex-1-enyl-, cyclohexyl-, and cyclopentyl carboxamides 56-58 were 10 times less active on the average than the benzoyl analogue $\mathbf{2 0}$. The exception was cyclopentylmethylcarboxamide 59, which retained functional activity. Extending the position of the 4-nitrophenyl group by two carbon atoms (60) reduced activity 10 -fold. Varying the positions of the pyrazole nitrogen atoms produced inactive analogues ( $\mathbf{6 4 - 6 6}, \mathbf{6 9 - 7 1}$ ). In fact, the 1,4-


Figure 4. Comparison of predicted versus observed functional activities for the active compounds of Table 1, using HQSAR (Tripos, St. Louis, MO). Correlation coefficient $r=0.87(n=29)$.
diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl analogues 69 and 70 showed antagonist properties at the highest concentration, rather than potentiation. Confining the amide moiety in a triazole ring (73) resulted in decreased activity and produced only 3 -fold potentiation (Table 2). No potentiation but weak antagonism was seen with the annelated benzopyrazoles $\mathbf{7 5}$ and 76. This suggests that the 1,3 -disubstituted pyrazole ring system plays an important role in interacting with the positive allosteric site. Highest functional potency was seen with the $2^{\prime}$-fluoro-4-nitro analogue 46 with an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ of $9.6 \pm 1.9 \mathrm{nM}$. Thus, compound 46 had 8 times the functional potency of CDPPB (Table 1). Like CDPPB, the novel allosteric potentiator ADX-47273 (1) has shown pharmacokinetic properties that makes it suitable for in vivo studies. ${ }^{12}$ In a direct comparison with compound $\mathbf{1}$, compound 46 was 10 times more potent in potentiating glutamate-induced calcium release in rat astrocytes (Table 1). The calculated lipophilicity of compound $\mathbf{4 6}$ is $\log P=4.9$ versus $\log P=5.2$ for CDPPB (Table 1). Considering that CDPPB is reported to be 10 times more active at the human $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$ than at the rat receptor, ${ }^{10}$ further studies of 46 are warranted.

Attempts to find the optimal combination of aromatic substituents, using Hansch 2D QSAR analysis with standard parameters, proved less successful in explaining the high potencies of the nitro analogues $\mathbf{2 3}, \mathbf{2 9}, \mathbf{4 6} \mathbf{- 4 9}$, as well as the poor activities of the dimethoxy analogues $\mathbf{3 3}$ and $\mathbf{3 4}$. However, HQSAR modeling of the functional activities of the CDPPB analogues presented in Table 1 was able to accurately predict their activities. Comparison of the observed and predicted activities is shown in Figure 4. HQSAR utilizes structural fragments (molecular fingerprints) of varying lengths as descriptors together with partial least-squares (PLS) analysis and crossvalidation. In the best model $\left(r^{2}=0.774, q^{2}=0.047, \mathrm{SE}=\right.$ 0.314 ), the corresponding 3,4-dinitro compound was predicted to be the most active compound with a calculated $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 3 nM . However, such a compound is not synthetically feasible, but the positional 3,5-dinitro isomer 32 was prepared and found to retain half the functional activity of the 4 -nitro compound (29), as predicted (Figure 4).

All compounds in this study were considerably less active than MPEP $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}=3.4 \mathrm{nM}\right)^{18}$ at the $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ methoxyPEPy binding site and showed higher functional than binding activity. For the CDPPB analogues in Table 1 an average ratio of 16 was obtained between the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ for potentation of glutamate-induced calcium release and the $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ binding affinity to the antagonist allosteric MPEP site. Although the more potent compounds in
the functional assay, e.g. 26, 35, 36, 46, and 47, were also more active at the MPEP binding site, there were several exceptions. For instance, compounds $\mathbf{4 3}, \mathbf{4 4}$, and $\mathbf{5 9}$ displayed glutamate potentiation with virtually no affinity for the MPEP binding site, while the opposite was seen for compound 57. The 1,4-diphenylpyrazol-5-ylbenzamides 69 and 70 and the phenylimidazopyridines $\mathbf{7 5}$ and 76 displaced $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ methoxyPEPy binding in the low micromolar range, while showing antagonstic acitivities in the functional assay. Although, it is possible that CDPPB exerts its positive modulation of $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$ by binding to the MPEP site, other factors may contribute to its functional activity. The existence of a distinct site, at which positive allosteric modulators of $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$ can act, is supported by the observation that CPPHA [ N -(4-chloro-2-((1,3-dioxo-1,3-dihy-dro- 2 H -isoindol-2-yl)methyl)phenyl)-2-hydroxybenzamide], ${ }^{8}$ a structurally unrelated positive allosteric modulator of $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$, has no affinity for the MPEP site. However, recent studies have demonstrated that a neutral ligand at the MPEP site, the corresponding 5-methyl isomer 5-MPEP, ${ }^{18}$ can inhibit CDPPBinduced calcium release in cultured astrocytes of the rat. ${ }^{19}$ Therefore, the binding site responsible for positive modulation of mGluR $_{5}$ by CDPPB and its congeners is likely situated in close proximity to the antagonist MPEP binding site. Definitive determination of the role of binding to the MPEP site in mediating allosteric potentiator activity of specific compounds in this series must await further studies.

In conclusion, we found that only the 5-benzamido-1,3diphenylpyrazole scaffold produced positive allosteric modulators. Replacing the $m$-cyano group of CDPPB (2) with a $p$-nitro group (29) resulted in a 20 -fold increase in binding activity and an 8 -fold increase in functional activity. Introducing a halogen atom in the ortho-position of the phenyl ring in the 1-pyrazole position further increased the activity. In particular, the 1-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-nitrobenzamide 46 (VU-1545) displayed pharmacological properties in the low nanomolar range using the rat $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$, which warrants further studies, preferably with human mGlu receptors. Compound 46 is only half as lipophilic as CDPPB, which should increase its brain availability. The apparent correlation between binding affinities and functional activities ${ }^{20}$ raises the possibility that activity at the MPEP site may be important for positive allosteric modulation. However, there was a 16 -fold discrepancy in the average potencies at these two measures and several obvious outliers. Thus, it is possible that the apparent correlation between these two measures is a consequence of coincidental SAR requirements of the aromatic substituents for binding to the MPEP site and that binding to this site is not responsible for functional activity. Whether occupation of the MPEP site is a prerequisite for glutamate potentiation remains to be determined.

## Experimental Section

Reagents and starting materials were obtained from SigmaAldrich (Milwaukee, WI) unless otherwise noted. ADX-47273 [(S)-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(3-(4-fluorophenyl)[1,2,4]oxadiazol-5-yl)piperidine, 1] was prepared from (S)-1-BOC-nipecotic acid and 4-fluorobenzonitrile as described. ${ }^{11}$ MPEP (2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine hydrochloride, 3) and L-glutamic acid were obtained from Tocris (Ellisville, MO). CDPPB (2), $N$-(1,3-diphenyl1 H -pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (20), 4-methyl- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1 H -pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (24), and 4-trifluoromethyl- $N$-(1,3-diphenyl1 H -pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (27) were prepared from the corresponding substituted benzoyl chlorides and 5-amino-1,3-diphenyl1 H -pyrazole (Alfa-Aesar, Ward Hill, MA) as described. ${ }^{9,13}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-$ MethoxyPEPy $\left[3-\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]\right.$ methoxy-5-(pyridin-2-ylethynyl)pyridine] was custom radiolabeled (American Radiolabeled Chemicals, St Louis, MO) by $O$-alkylation of the corresponding phenol with $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$
as described. ${ }^{36}$ Proton and carbon NMR spectra were obtained in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ on a Bruker instrument operating at 300 and 75 MHz , respectively, with tetramethylsilane as internal standard. Mass spectra were obtained on a triple-quadrupole instrument (Finnigan TSQ-7000, Thermo Electron Corp, San Jose, CA) equipped with an electrospray ionization source and operating in either positive or negative ion mode. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained using electron ionization on a $8 \mathrm{kV} 70-\mathrm{VSE}$ instrument at the Mass Spectrometry Laboratory, School of Chemical Sciences, University of Illinios at Urbana-Champaign. X-ray crystallographic structure of compound 69 was obtained from graphite Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation on a Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer at Yale University Chemical Instrumentation Center. Melting points were recorded on an OptiMelt automated instrument (Stanford Research Systems, Sunnyvale, CA) operating at a rate of $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab (Norcross, GA) and were within $0.4 \%$ of theory unless otherwise noted.

1-Amino-1-phenylacrylonitrile (8). Benzonitrile (5) (10 g, 97 mmol ) was dissolved in benzene ( 250 mL ). Acetonitrile ( 8.0 g , 195 mmol ) was added, followed by portions of potassium tertbutoxide ( $25 \mathrm{~g}, 417 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 24 h at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Diethyl ether ( 200 mL ) was added, followed by $2 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 100 mL ). Separation of the organic layer, washing with 5 N NaCl $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, drying $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporation of the solvent gave $10.5 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$, after recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Mp}$ : $85-87{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lit. ${ }^{37} \mathrm{mp}: 86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 161.3$, 135.1, 130.7, $128.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 125.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 119.3$, 63.3.

1-Amino-1-(2-chlorophenyl)acrylonitrile (9) was prepared from acetonitrile and 2-chlorobenzonitrile (6) $(2.75 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 8. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $1.95 \mathrm{~g}(55 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 104-106{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \operatorname{Lit} .{ }^{38} \mathrm{mp}: \quad 106-107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98$ (b, 2H), $4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 159.4,134.6,131.4,130.8$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 130.1(\mathrm{CH}), 129.6(\mathrm{CH}), 126.9(\mathrm{CH}), 118.3,66.5(\mathrm{CH})$.

1-Amino-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)acrylonitrile (10) was prepared from acetonitrile and 3-methoxybenzonitrile (7) $(5.3 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 8. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (20 mL ) gave $4.8 \mathrm{~g}(69 \%)$. Mp: $64-66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lit. ${ }^{39} \mathrm{mp}: 61-63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.34(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 161.2, 159.6, 136.5, 129.8, 119.2, 118.0, 116.0, 111.4, 63.4, 55.1.

5-Amino-1-phenyl-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-1H-pyrazole (11). 2-Amino-2-(2-chlorophenyl)acrylonitrile (9) ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in $2.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL}, 25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Phenylhydrazine ( 1.2 g .11 mmol ) was added, followed by 12 N $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL}, 60 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . After cooling by adding pieces of ice, $14 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ was added dropwise until the solution became basic ( $7 \mathrm{~mL}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Extraction with EtOAc ( $2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), washing of the combined organic layer with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, drying $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporation of the solvent gave crude 11. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $1.02 \mathrm{~g}(39 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 139-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \operatorname{Lit} .^{38} \mathrm{mp}: 137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.88(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (dd, 1H), 7.36 (t, 1H), $7.24(\mathrm{dq}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 149.0,144.6,138.2,132.2,131.9,130.3,129.9$, $129.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.5,127.2,126.5,123.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 92.0$.

5-Amino-1-phenyl-3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1 H -pyrazole (12) was prepared from compound $\mathbf{1 0}(1.74 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phenylhydrazine $(1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 11. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $1.35 \mathrm{~g}(51 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: \quad 110-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $159.5,151.1,145.5,138.3,134.6,129.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 127.2, 123.9 $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 118.0,113.6,110.3,88.0,55.0$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-Amino-1-(2-fluorophenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazole (13) was prepared from 1-amino-1-phenylacrylonitrile (8) $(1.44 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-fluorophenylhydrazine $\mathrm{HCl}(1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 11. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave 0.79 $\mathrm{g}(31 \%)$ as an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.38(\mathrm{~m} 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 157.8,154.5,146.7,133.0,129.8,128.9,128.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 127.7, $125.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.9,116.4(\mathrm{~d}), 88.1$.

5-Amino-1-(2-chlorophenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazole (14) was prepared from 1-amino-1-phenylacrylonitrile (8) $(1.44 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-chlorophenylhydrazine $(1.79 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 11. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave 1.35 g ( $52 \%$ ). Mp: $107-109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 162.1,151.9,146.7,135.6,133.1,131.9,130.3$, 130.1, $128.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.8,127.6,125.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 87.3. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-Amino-1-(2-bromophenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazole (15) was prepared from 1-amino-1-phenylacrylonitrile (8) ( $1.44 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-bromophenylhydrazine $\mathrm{HCl}(2.24 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 11. Column chromatoraphy on silica gel in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave fractions with $R_{f}=0.16$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $0.62 \mathrm{~g}(20 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 111-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.51 .7,146.4,137.2,133.4,133.1,130.6,130.3,128.4$, $128.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.6,125.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 122.1,87.3$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{BrN}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-Amino-1-(2-pyridyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazole (16) was prepared from 1-amino-1-phenylacrylonitrile (8) ( $1.44 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-pyridylhydrazine $2 \mathrm{HCl}(1.82 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 11. Recrystallization from EtOH ( 20 mL ) gave 0.45 g (19\%). Mp: $144-147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lit. ${ }^{24} \mathrm{mp}: 159-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):^{25} 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 162.0$, $160.3,149.3,146.2,138.3,132.9,128.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.9,125.5(2$ $\times \mathrm{CH}), 119.3,113.5,86.9$.

5-Amino-1-(3-bromophenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazole (17) was prepared from 1-amino-1-phenylacrylonitrile and 3-bromophenylhydrazine as described for compound 11. Extraction with EtOAc $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $1.76 \mathrm{~g}(56 \%)$ of an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $7.87(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dq}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $151.7,145.6,139.7,132.9,130.4,129.9,128.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.8$, 126.6, $125.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 122.7, 121.8, 88.5 .

5-Amino-1-(3-cyanophenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazole (18) was prepared from 1-amino-1-phenylacrylonitrile (8) $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3-cyanophenylhydrazine ${ }^{40}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 11. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ of the residue from combined chromatography fractions gave $0.32 \mathrm{~g}(18 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}$ : $131-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.07(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{dq}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 151.9,145.4,139.3$, 132.4, 129.9, 129.7, $128.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.8,126.9,126.0,125.2$ (2 $\times \mathrm{CH}), 117.6,113.1,89.4$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-Amino-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazole (19) was prepared from 1-amino-1-phenylacrylonitrile ( $\mathbf{8}$ ) $(1.44 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3-methoxyphenylhydrazine ${ }^{41}(1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 11. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave 0.36 $\mathrm{g}(36 \%)$ as an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.81(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90$ (ddd, 1H), $5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85$ (b, 2H).

3-Methoxy- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (21). 3-Methoxybenzoyl chloride ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to a stirred mixture of $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 5 -amino-1,3-diphenyl- 1 H -pyrazole (AlfaAesar) $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-water). After 3 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solvents were evaporated, water ( 100 mL ) was added, and the residue was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times$ $100 \mathrm{~mL})$. Washing of the combined organic layer with 1 N HCl , then 1 N NaOH , and then water ( 25 mL each), drying $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporation of the solvent gave a crystallizing residue. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $1.39 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$. Mp: $177-179{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.08(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.3-7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 163.0,162.0,159.8,151.7$,
137.6, 136.3, 134.3, $129.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.9,125.3$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 118.4,118.2,112.3,95.4,55.2$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
3-Trifluoromethyl- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (22) was prepared from 3-trifluorobenzoyl chloride ( 1.0 g , 4.8 mmol ) as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $0.59 \mathrm{~g}(34 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 155-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.09(\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 162.0 .151 .8,137.5,135.8,133.7,131.1$, $130.5,129.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.4,128.9,128.6,128.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.0$, $125.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $124.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 124.0, 96.1. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot\right.$ $\left.0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; C: calcd, 66.34; found, 67.01.
3-Nitro- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (23) was prepared from 3-nitrobenzoyl chloride ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as described for compound 21. The reaction mixture showed three compounds according to TLC in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The product was purified on 100 g of silica gel (Merck $70-230$ mesh, 60 A ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave byproducts A and B (not isolated). Fractions showing the third spot ( $R_{f}=0.15$ ) were collected, and the solvent was removed. Recrystallization from EtOH ( 10 mL ) gave $0.38 \mathrm{~g}(24 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 192-194^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.56(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.18(\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. MS: $385(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}) 100 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $151.8,148.0,137.4,135.5,134.5,132.8,132.4,130.0,129.9(2 \times$ CH), 128.6, $128.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.0,126.6,125.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.4$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 121.8,96.4$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
4-Methoxy- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1 H -pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (25) was prepared from 4-methoxybenzoyl chloride ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from EtOH (20 $\mathrm{mL})$ gave $0.94 \mathrm{~g}(61 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 155-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 8.07 (s, 1H), 7.89 (d, 2H), 7.56 (m, 4H), 7.45 (m, 3H), 7.34 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 163.1,162.7,151.6,137.7,136.6,133.7,132.7,129.7$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.8,125.5(2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}), 125.0,124.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 113.9(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 95.8,60.1$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
4-Trifluoromethoxy- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (26) was prepared from 4-trifluoromethoxybenzoyl chloride (1.0 $\mathrm{g}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $0.40 \mathrm{~g}(22 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 180-181{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.09(\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{4H})$, $7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):(2 \times \mathrm{CH}$ only) $129.8,128.8,128.5,125.5$, 124.5, 120.6. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-Cyano- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (28) was prepared from 4-cyanobenzoyl chloride ( $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as described for compound 21. TLC of the reaction mixture in $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ showed three spots with $R_{f}$ of 0.28 (byproduct A, 51), 0.21 , (byproduct B, 52), and 0.12 , respectively. Collection of fractions with $R_{f}=0.12$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and evaporation of the solvent gave 0.47 g of solid. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $0.31 \mathrm{~g}(24 \%)$. Mp: 207-208 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.05$ (b, NH), 7.91 (dd, 2H), $7.84(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 151.6, 137.2, 136.4, 135.4, $132.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 132.2,129.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.4,128.2(2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}), 127.8,127.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 125.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 117.1, 115.6, 95.7. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; C: calcd, 75.81 ; found, 75.07.

4-Nitro- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1 H -pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (29) was prepared from 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride ( 0.41 g ) as described for compound 21. TLC of the reaction mixture in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ showed three spots with $R_{f}$ of 0.45 (byproduct A), 0.32 (byproduct B ), and 0.18 , respectively. Separation on 100 g of silica gel (Merck 70-230 mesh, $60 \mathrm{~A})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave $0.42 \mathrm{~g}(26 \%)$ with $R_{f}=0.18$. Mp: 221$223{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, , $8.09(\mathrm{~b}$, $\mathrm{NH}), 7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 163.5,162.0$, 150.1, 138.5, 138.3, 136.1, 132.3, $128.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $128.6(2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.5,126.9,124.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 123.0(2 \times$

CH), $122.9(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 100.4. MS: $385(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ 100. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-Amincarboxyl- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (30). Terephthaloyl dichloride ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 15 mL ) was slowly added to a stirred mixture of $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 5 -amino-1,3-diphenyl- 1 H pyrazole ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice-water) to produce 5 -(4-chlorocarbonylbenzamido)-1,3-diphenyl- 1 H -pyrazole. After $1 \mathrm{~h}, 14 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture stirred for 1 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water was added and the product was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. Insoluble materials, containing a mixture of product and terephthalic acid according to TLC, were removed by filtration. Drying and evaporation of the solvent gave 0.83 g. Separation on silica gel in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (10:1) and recrystallization from MeOH gave 0.21 g ( $23 \%$ ). Mp : 244-247 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): 7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{MeOH})$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100$ scans): $8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.63$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78$ (b, 1H), $5.89(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-Carboxyl- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (31). The acid chloride intermediate was prepared as described for compound 30. After 1 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the solvents were evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in DMF ( 10 mL ) and treated with $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 30 min at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Extraction of the product with EtOAc as described for compound 21, followed by column chromatography on 100 g of silica gel (Merck 70-230 mesh, 60 A) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, gave pure fractions. Crystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave 0.93 g (59\%) of 4-methoxycarboxyl- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1 H -pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide. Mp: $163-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.14$ (b, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11$ (d, 2H), 7.90, d, 2H), 7.79, (d, 2H), 7.58 (m, 4H), 7.49 (m, 1H), $7.42(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36,\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 7.19\right.$, (s, 1H), $3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $165.7,151.8,137.5,136.6,136.0,133.3,132.5$, $129.9(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.5,128.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.2$, 127.9, $126.9(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 125.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 95.9$, 52.3. The methyl ester $(0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in MeOH $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by addition of ice and washing of the aqueous layer with ether ( 25 mL ), and neutralization with 12 N HCl gave 0.54 g ( $70 \%$ ). Mp: $233-235{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 9.66(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.68(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3,5-Dinitro- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1 H -pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (32) was prepared from 3,5-dinitrobenzoyl chloride ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as described for compound 21 to yield $0.75 \mathrm{~g}(50 \%)$ from EtOH . Mp: $244-246{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 9.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.18(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3,4-Dimethoxy- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (33) was prepared from 3,4-dimethoxybenzoic acid ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by treatment with thionyl chloride $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, containing 3 drops of DMF as catalyst, for 2 h at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was evaporated and the resulting 3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and reacted with 5 -amino-1,3-diphenyl-1 H -pyrazole as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{PrO}_{2}$ gave $0.82 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%)$. Mp : $184-185$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.05(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.46 (m, 4H), 7.36 (t, 1H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 7.17 (dd, 1H), 6.86 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 162.1,151.5$, $150.9,148.3,136.9,135.8,131.9,128.9(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.5,127.5(2$ $\times \mathrm{CH}), 127.1,124.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 123.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 118.3,109.6$, 109.3, 94.6, 55.0, 54.9. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3,5-Dimethoxy- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (34) was prepared from 3,5-dimethoxybenzoic acid ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as described for compound 33. Recrystallization from $i-\operatorname{PrO}_{2}$ (5 mL ) gave $0.21 \mathrm{~g}(50 \%)$. Mp: $144-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $8.03(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $160.8,151.2,137.6,136.3,135.0,132.7,129.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.4(2$
$\times \mathrm{CH}), 127.9,125.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 104.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 104.0, 95.7, $55.3\left(2 \times \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3,4-Dimethyl- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (35) was prepared from 3,4-dimethylbenzoic acid as described for compound 33. Recrystallization from EtOH ( 15 mL ) gave 0.39 g (38\%). Mp: $163-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.05(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90$ $(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 163.1,151.3,141.2,137.2,136.8,136.1,132.3$, 129.9, 129.3, $129.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.7,127.3,125.0$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.0(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 123.5,95.0,19.2,19.1$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3,4-Dichloro- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1 H -pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (36) was prepared from 3,4-dichlorobenzoic acid as described for compound 33. Recrystallization from EtOH ( 20 mL ) gave 1.05 g ( $61 \%$ ). Mp: $180-181^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 7.95 (b, NH), 7.91 $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.41(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

N -(1-Phenyl-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)benzamide (37) was prepared from benzoyl chloride $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylpyrazole $11(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $0.31 \mathrm{~g}(41 \%)$. Mp : $165-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.05(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 162.0,137.6,135.4,132.2,130.4$, 130.0, $129.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.9,128.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.5,126.8(2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}), 126.6,124.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(1-Phenyl-3-(3-methoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)benzamide (38) was prepared from benzoyl chloride ( $0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diphenylpyrazole $12(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $0.38 \mathrm{~g}(51 \%)$. Mp : $140-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.06(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $159.6,151.7,137.6,136.3,134.1,132.8,132.3$, $129.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.3,128.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.4,126.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $124.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 118.1,114.3,110.2,95.6,55.1$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ $\left.0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; C: calcd, 73.00; found, 73.51.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(1-(2-Fluorophenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)benzamide (39) was prepared from benzoyl chloride $(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylpyrazole $13(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{EtOH}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $0.70 \mathrm{~g}(50 \%)$. Mp : $171-172{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.99(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{td}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 163.9,157.2,152.8,137.5,133.0$, 132.5, 132.2, $130.5(\mathrm{~d}), 129.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.3$, 128.0, $126.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 125.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 125.4,116.5(\mathrm{~d}), 96.4$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$N$-(1-(2-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)benzamide (40) was prepared from benzoyl chloride $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylpyrazole $14(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave 0.41 g (55\%). Mp: $171-173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ $(\mathrm{b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.41(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 152.7$, $137.9,135.3,133.2,132.9,132.5,131.3,131.1,130.6,130.5,129.0$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.5,128.2,127.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 125.8$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 95.7. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(1-(2-Bromophenyl)-3-phenyl)-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)benzamide (41) was prepared from benzoyl chloride ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diphenylpyrazole $15(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{EtOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave 0.45 g (34\%). Mp: $162-163{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80$ $(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.4-7.5(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 163.6,161.8$, $152.3,137.5,136.6,133.6,133.0,132.6,132.2,131.2,130.5,128.8$, $128.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.9,126.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 125.6(2$ $\times \mathrm{CH}), 121.2$, 95.3. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(1-(2-Pyridyl)-3-phenyl-1 $\boldsymbol{H}$-pyrazol-3-yl)benzamide (42) was prepared from benzoyl chloride $(0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridylphenylpyrazole $16(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21.

Recrystallization from EtOH ( 15 mL ) gave 0.23 g ( $68 \%$ ). Mp: $152-154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.45(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.38(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 163.2,162.0,154.4$, $145.8,140.9,139.3,133.6,132.4,132.0,128.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.3(2$ $\times \mathrm{CH}), 127.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 125.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 120.1,114.0,94.7$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N -(1-(3-Bromophenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)benzamide (43) was prepared from benzoyl chloride ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diphenylpyrazole $17(0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave 0.13 g ( $16 \%$ ). Mp: $180-181{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.05(\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.88$ $(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50,(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46 \mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 163.8,162.0,152.2,138.9,136.3,132.7,132.4,131.2$, 130.8, $128.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.1,127.6,126.8(2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}), 125.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 123.2,122.4,96.8$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.
$N$-(1-(3-Cyanophenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)benzamide (44) was prepared from benzoyl chloride $(0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylpyrazole $18(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $0.31 \mathrm{~g}(43 \%)$. Mp: 202-204 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.97(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.78$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.3-7.5(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 151.4,137.8,135.0,131.5$, $131.3,130.9,130.0,129.2,127.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.1$, 126.6, 126.2, $125.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 116.1,112.6,97.6$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-3-yl)benzamide (45) was prepared from benzoyl chloride ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diphenylpyrazole $19(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{EtOH}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave 0.17 g $(23 \%)$. Mp: $161-163{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.18(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92$ (dt, 2H), $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dq}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 163.0$, $160.5,151.5,138.4,136.2,132.7,132.4,132.0,130.2,128.5(2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}), 128.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.7,126.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 125.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 115.7, 114.5, 110.0, 95.1, 55.1. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-Nitro- N -(1-(2-fluorophenyl)-3-phenyl-1 H -pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (46) was prepared from 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride ( $0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylpyrazole $\mathbf{1 3}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. TLC of the reaction mixture in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ showed three spots, corresponding to the $N, 2$ - and $N, N$-diacylated byproducts (A and B) and the desired product, respectively. Separation on 100 g of silica gel (Merck 70-230 mesh, 60 A ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave fractions with $R_{f}=0.12$. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{EtOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave 0.38 g (34\%). Mp: 222-224 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.98$ (b, NH), $7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.3-7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 154.4,153.5,153.1,150.4,147.5,139.1,137.4$, 133.7, 133.0, $131.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 130.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $129.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $129.1,128.9,128.8,128.4,126.3,126.2,126.1,125.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 124.5, $117.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $117.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 98.0,88.8$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-Nitro- N -(1-(2-chlorophenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (47) was prepared from 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylpyrazole $14(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Separation on 50 g of silica gel (Merck 70-230 mesh, 60 A ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave fractions with $R_{f}=0.12$. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $0.19 \mathrm{~g}(28 \%)$. Mp: 176-179 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.64 (dd, 2H), 7.51 (dd, 2H), 7.46 (m, 2H), 7.36 (t, 1H), 7.17 (s, $1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-Nitro- N -(1-(2-bromophenyl)-3-phenyl-1 H -pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (48) was prepared from 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride $(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylpyrazole $15(0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Separation on 50 g of silica gel (Merck 70-230 mesh, 60 A ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave fractions with $R_{f}=0.14$. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $0.14 \mathrm{~g}(18 \%)$. Mp: 251-253 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.74(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-Nitro- N -(1-(2-bromophenyl)-3-phenyl-1 H -pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (49) was prepared from 3-nitrobenzoyl chloride $(0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylpyrazole $15(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from EtOH ( 10 mL ) gave 0.31 $\mathrm{g}(42 \%)$. Mp: $172-176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.52(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 161.4$, $152.3,148.0,136.7,136.5,134.6,133.6,132.7,132.3,131.3,131.3$, 130.4, 130.0, 128.9, $128.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.1,126.6,125.5(2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}), 121.9,120.9,96.3$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-Cyano-N-(1-(2-bromophenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (50) was prepared from 3-cyanobenzoyl chloride ( 0.20 $\mathrm{g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylpyrazole $15(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Yield $0.29 \mathrm{~g}(66 \%)$. Mp: 180-181 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.75(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 162.0,152.1,147.3,136.7$, $136.5,135.2,133.1,132.4,131.4,130.9,130.6,130.5,129.7,128.9$, $128.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.1,125.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 117.3,113.2,96.1$. HRMS: obsd, 442.0431; calcd, 442.0429. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H, N.
5-(4-Cyanobenzoyl)imino-2-(4-cyanobenzoyl)-1,3-diphenyl-1,2-dihydropyrazole (51) was isolated as byproduct A in the preparation of compound $\mathbf{2 8}$ (yield $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \%$ ). TLC on silica with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ showed $R_{f}=0.27 . \mathrm{Mp}: 161-163{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.65(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 162.0,160.6,151.4,146.7,141.3,138.7$, 135.2, $132.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 132.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 130.9,130.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $128.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.1,124.7,125.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $124.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 118.2,117.6,117.1,115.3$, 96.5. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$
$N, N$-Bis(4-cyanobenzoyl)-1,3-diphenyl-5-amino-1H-pyrazole (52) was isolated as byproduct B in the preparation of $\mathbf{2 8}$ (yield $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%$ ). TLC in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ showed $R_{f}=0.32$. Mp: $179-181$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.81(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

2-Nitro- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (53) was prepared from 2-nitrobenzoyl chloride ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as described for compound 21. Yield $0.14 \mathrm{~g}(16 \%)$ after recrystallization from EtOH. Mp: $226-229^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lit. $.^{28} \mathrm{mp}: 230-232^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

N -(6-Methyl-3-pyridinoyl)-1,3-diphenyl-5-amino-1H-pyrazole (54) was prepared from 6-methylnicotinic acid ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6$ mmol ) and thionyl chloride as described for compound $\mathbf{3 3}$ with 5 equiv of $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine. Extraction of the product with EtOAc followed by column chromatography on 50 g of silica gel (Merck 70-230 mesh, 60 A ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave pure 54 after crystallization from $i-\operatorname{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (yield $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \%$ ). Mp: $172-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89$ (d, 2H), 7.58 (dd, 4H), 7.44 (m, 3H), $7.34(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 162.7,161.9,151.7$, $146.8,137.5,135.9,135.5,132.6,129.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.5,128.3$ (2 $\times \mathrm{CH}), 127.9,126.0,125.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 123.3$, 95.9, 24.4. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N -Isonicotinoyl-1,3-diphenyl-5-amino-1H-pyrazole (55) was prepared from 4-pyridylcarboxyl chloride hydrochloride ( 0.48 g , 2.7 mmol ) as described for compound 21 with 5 equiv of $N, N-$ diisopropylethylamine. The yield was $0.40 \mathrm{~g}(28 \%)$ from EtOH . Mp: $185-187{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH})$, $7.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N -Cyclohex-1-enecarboxyl-1,3-diphenyl-5-amino-1H-pyrazole (56). Cyclohex-1-enecarboxylic acid chloride ( $0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to 1,3 -diphenylpyrazole $(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Mp: 196-198 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.3-7.5(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 164.1, 151.7, 137.7, 136.6, 132.8, 132.2, 129.7 (2
$\times \mathrm{CH}), 128.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.8,125.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 95.0, 25.3, 23.8, 21.6, 21.0. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N -Cyclohexanecarboxyl-1,3-diphenyl-5-amino-1 H -pyrazole (57) was prepared from cyclohexanecarboxylic acid chloride $(0.18 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.2 mmol ) as described for compound 21. Chromatography on silica with hexanes-EtOAc (1:4) gave $0.17 \mathrm{~g}(49 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 185-186^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ $(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $173.1,152.2,138.3,137.0,133.4,130.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.9(2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 126.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 125.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 96.3$, 45.9, 29.7, $25.9\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $25.8\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) . \operatorname{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : obsd, 345.1826; calcd, 345.1830. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Cyclopentanecarboxyl-1,3-diphenyl-5-amino-1H-pyrazole (58) was prepared from cyclopentanecarboxylic acid (1.1 g, 10 mmol ) as described for compound 33 (yield 15\%). TLC in $\mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ showed $R_{f}=0.16 . \mathrm{Mp}: 162-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.87$ (d, 2H), $7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 137.2,129.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 127.8, $125.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 95.4,30.0\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 29.7, $25.6\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N -(Cyclopentylmethyl)carboxyl-1,3-diphenyl-5-amino-1Hpyrazole (59) was prepared from cyclopentylacetic acid ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.9$ mmol ) as described for compound 33 (yield $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \%$ ). Mp: $168-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.87(\mathrm{dt}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.
$N$-(4-Nitrocinnamoyl)-1,3-diphenyl-5-amino-1H-pyrazole (60) was prepared from 4-nitrocinnamic acid $(0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,3-diphenyl-1 H -pyrazole $(0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 33 (yield $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \%$ ). Mp: $195-197{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ C, H, N (accounted 88 wt \%).
$N$-(2-Phenyl-2-oxoethyl)phthalimide (61). 2'-Bromoacetophenone ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF ( 40 mL ). Potassium phthalimide ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portions at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by water $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. Extraction with chloroform $(2 \times 60$ mL ), washing of the combined organic layer with 0.5 N NaOH $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, drying $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporation gave $10.6 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of crystalline residue. $\mathrm{Mp}: 164-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \operatorname{Lit} .{ }^{42}$ mp: $165-167{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.75(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{tt}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 190.7,167.6,134.1,133.8,133.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 131.9,128.6$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.9(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 123.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 43.9$.

4-Phthalimido-1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazole (62). Compound 61 $(5.3 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in $N, N$-dimethyldimethoxyformamide $(3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \mathrm{mmol})$ and heated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Phenylhydrazine hydrochloride ( $3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added followed by $90 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{EtOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling the precipitated product was collected by filtration and washed with $\mathrm{EtOH}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ (yield 6.84 g , $92 \%$ ). Mp: 232-234 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lit. ${ }^{29} \mathrm{mp}: ~ 233-235{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 167.4,140.4,139.4$, $138.5,134.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 131.5129 .0(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $128.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.8,127.4,124.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $123.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 112.6$.

4-Amino-1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazole (63). Compound 62 (3.7 g, $10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in $\mathrm{EtOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL}), 50 \%$ hydrazine hydrate $(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . After cooling to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the precipitated phthaloyl hydrazide was removed by filtration, and the mother liquors were reduced to 15 mL by evaporation. Addition of $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooling gave $1.68 \mathrm{~g}(71 \%)$. Lit. ${ }^{29}$ yield: $90 \%$ (no data). $\mathrm{Mp}: 126-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24$ (m, 7H).
$N$-(1,3-Diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)benzamide (64) was prepared from benzoyl chloride $(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylpyrazole $63(0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21 to give 0.39 $\mathrm{g}(26 \%)$ after recrystallization from $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Mp}: 169-$ $171{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 5-\mathrm{CH}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~b}$, $\mathrm{NH}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $164.8,139.4,134.4,133.7,131.6,129.1(4 \mathrm{xCH}), 128.7,128.6$ (2 $\times \mathrm{CH}), 128.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.3,127.0,126.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.3(2$ $\times \mathrm{CH})$, 120.2. MS: $338\left(\mathrm{M}^{-}\right)$100. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-Cyano- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)benzamide (65) was prepared from 3-cyanobenzoyl chloride ( $0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diphenylpyrazole $63(0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{EtOH}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave $0.17 \mathrm{~g}(23 \%)$. Mp : $217-219{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS}: 363\left(\mathrm{M}^{-}\right) 100 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.73(\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{NH})$, $7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t} .1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 165.4,138.8,138.0$, $135.1,133.7,131.5,130.9,130.3,129.5,129.0(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.6(2$ $\times \mathrm{CH}), 128.1,127.0,126.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 123.9(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 119.7$, 117.5, 112.6. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-Nitro- N -(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)benzamide (66) was prepared from 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride $(0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylpyrazole $63(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Separation on 50 g of silica gel (Merck 70-230 mesh, 60 A ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave fractions with $R_{f}=0.11$. Recrystallization from EtOH ( 20 mL ) gave $0.13 \mathrm{~g}(17 \%)$. Mp: $174-175{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 129.0,128.7,128.4$, 126.8, 124.0, $123.8\left(2 \times \mathrm{CH}\right.$ only). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-Formyl-1-phenylacetonitrile (67). Sodium methoxide ( 5.4 g , 104 mmol ) was added to a stirred solution of benzyl cyanide ( 11.7 $\mathrm{g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ethyl formate ( 8.2 g , 110 mmol ) was slowly added and the mixture was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then allowed to reach room temperature for 1 h . Water ( 200 mL ) was added and the aqueous layer was collected. Neutralization with $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(18 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooling to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, filtering, and washing of the precipitation with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave 7.50 g ( $48 \%$ ). Mp: $158-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lit. $.^{31} \mathrm{mp}: ~ 159-160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

5-Amino-1,4-diphenyl-1H-pyrazole (68). Acetic acid (2.5 g, 42 mmol) was added to a mixture of compound $67(2.9 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phenylhydrazine $(2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 26 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was heated to reflux temperature for 5 h . Water was removed azeotropically using a Dean-Stark water trap. After cooling, 5 mL of $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, followed by neutralization with $14 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. Extraction with EtOAc $(2 \times 50$ $\mathrm{mL})$, washing of the combined organic layer with water $(2 \times 50$ $\mathrm{mL})$, drying $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporation of the solvents gave 2.88 $\mathrm{g}(61 \%)$ after crystallization from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Mp}: 139-140$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lit. $.^{32} \mathrm{mp}: 136-137{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lit. ${ }^{33} \mathrm{mp}: 140-141{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $140.4,138.3,137.6,128.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 126.7,125.4$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.9,123.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 105.1$.

N -(1,4-Diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (69) was prepared by dropwise addition of benzoyl chloride $(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ to diphenylpyrazole $68(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture of $N, N$ diisopropylethylamine $(0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 20 ${ }^{\circ}$ C. After 2 h , water $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the product extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. Purification on silica with hexaneEtOAc (1:4), followed by conversion to the hydrochloride, gave $0.20 \mathrm{~g}(53 \%) . \mathrm{Mp}: 143-145^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.08(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.54-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 112.7, $123.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 126.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.3,127.9,128.1,129.3$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 130.9(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 131.3, 135.0, 138.4, 139.2, 141.1, 163.4. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : obsd, 339.1372; calcd, 339.1371. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.

3-Cyano- N -(1,4-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (70) was prepared from 3-cyanobenzoyl chloride $(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylpyrazole 68 as described for compound 21. Chromatography on silica with hexane-EtOAc (1:4) yielded $68 \%$ of an off-
white solid. Mp: $214-215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.75-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $10.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 101.7,112.1,118.5,123.7$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 125.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 127.9,128.5,129.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.6$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 130.4,131.7,132.8,133.0,134.5,136.0,137.0,139.1$, 150.6, 164.6. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : obsd, 364.1326; calcd, 364.1324. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-Nitro- N -(1,4-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (71) was prepared from 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diphenylpyrazole $\mathbf{6 8}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Recrystallization from EtOH ( 20 mL ) gave $0.82 \mathrm{~g}(51 \%)$. Mp: $224-226{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~b}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83$ (d, 2H), $7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 129.0(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $128.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 126.8$ $(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $124.0(2 \times \mathrm{CH}) 123.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-Azido-1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazole (72). 1,3-Diphenyl-1H-pyra-zol-5-ylamine ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 5 mL of trifluoroacetic acid and a solution of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 9.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 10 min , a solution of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 4 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, subjected to extraction with EtOAc $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, evaporated, and purified by silica gel chromatography in hexanesEtOAc (7:3) to give $0.47 \mathrm{~g}(86 \%)$ of the azido derivative as a solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 6.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 152.1$, 139.1, 138.7, 133.0, $129.4(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.8,127.8$, $126.0(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 123.7(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 93.7.

5-(4-Phenyl-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)-1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazole (73). The azido derivative $\mathbf{7 2}(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in $50 \%$ aqueous tert-butyl alcohol, and phenylacethylene $(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.9$ mmol ), sodium ascorbate ( $0.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(3 \mathrm{mg}$, 9 umol ) were added. After stirring for 18 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10$ mL ), and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography with hexanes-EtOAc (7:3). Collection of the fractions showing a single spot on TLC gave $0.28 \mathrm{~g}(52 \%)$ of product. Mp: $120-121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : 152.1, 148.4, 138.0, 135.8, 132.4, $129.8(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.4(2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}), 129.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.2,129.0(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 126.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, $126.2(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 124.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 122.3,102.7$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5}{ }^{\circ}\right.$ $\left.0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-Amino-2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine (74). 2-Phenylimid-azo[1,2-a]pyridine ${ }^{35}(0.20 \mathrm{~g})$ was dissolved in AcOH glacial ( 5 mL ) and then a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ was added dropwise until a heavy green precipitate was formed and filtered off. The precipitated nitroso derivative was added to a suspension of Zn $(0.40 \mathrm{~g})$ in 5 mL of $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{EtOH}(1: 1)$, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Addition of 3 N NaOH until pH 12 , extraction with EtOAc, drying over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, evaporation, and purification by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc) provided the desired compound as a solid in $95 \%$ yield. Mp: $210-212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lit. ${ }^{37}$ mp: $210-212^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 6.63(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 176.5,138.9,131.2,129.0(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.0$, $127.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 126.4,124.7,123.0,115.0,113.6$.
$N$-(2-Phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl)benzamide (75) was prepared from benzoyl chloride ( $0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-amino-2-phenylimidazo $[1,2-a]$ pyridine $(74,0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described by Holzwart. ${ }^{37}$ Chromatography on silica with hexane-EtOAc (1: 4) gave pure product according to TLC. Conversion to the hydrochloride gave $0.17 \mathrm{~g}(52 \%)$. Mp: $>240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lit. ${ }^{37} \mathrm{mp}: 240-$ $242{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 6.65(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.11$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 112.7,115.3$, $117.6,123.5,124.5,125.6,127.5(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.1(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 128.3$, $128.9(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 129.3(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 131.3,131.9,132.9,133.1,165.1$.

Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} . \operatorname{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : obsd, 313.1214; calcd, 313.1215.

3-Cyano- N -(2-phenylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl)benzamide (76) was prepared from 3-cyanobenzoyl chloride $(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and compound $74(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described for compound 21. Chromatography on silica with hexane-EtOAc (1:4). Conversion to the hydrochloride yielded $48 \%$ of an off-white solid. Mp: >260 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 6.83(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 113.2,113.4,115.5$, $116.2,117.0,118.5,123.9,125.2,126.7,127.6(2 \times \mathrm{CH})$, 128.7, $129.0(2 \times \mathrm{CH}), 130.2,132.6,133.2,134.1,135.7,165.5$. HRMS $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : obsd, 338.1162; calcd, 338.1167. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O} \cdot$ $\left.\mathrm{HCl} \cdot 0.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{N}$ : calcd, 14.46; found, 13.72.

QSAR Modeling. Prediction of the activities of novel analogues of CDPPB was performed using HQSAR (holographic quantitative structure-activity relationships) modeling (Tripos, St Louis MO). This method uses multiple fragments of varying length of the connection table of each compound to generate overlapping permutations, encoded into the frequency of occurrence, which serve as molecular descriptors in the analysis. This technique allows for rapid modeling independent of geometry optimization or positioning. Molecular fragment descriptors using four to eight atom lengths of the test set were obtained from Sybyl line notation of their 2D representations (ChemDraw 9.0). The HQSAR program cuts each molecular fingerprint into strings that are set at the length of 12 prime numbers ranging from 53 to 401 . The numerical representation of the test set was then subjected to partial least-squares analysis of the binding and functional activities, respectively. Except for compound 33, compounds with $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ or $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values $>10000 \mathrm{nM}$ were excluded from the analysis.

Competition Binding Assay. Membranes were prepared from stable human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells, expressing rat $\mathrm{mGluR}_{5}$. The cells were homogenized (Polytron) and stored at -80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used. After thawing, membranes were resuspended (Dounce) in ice-cold buffer containing 50 mM Tris HCl and $0.9 \%$ saline at pH 7.4 . Displacement of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ methoxyPEPy binding was performed as described. ${ }^{10,14}$ Test compounds were dissolved in $100 \%$ DMSO and then serially diluted in assay buffer to $5 \times$ stock solution at $0.63 \%$ DMSO. The stock solution was added in triplicate to 96 -well plates $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ to yield final concentrations of $0.13 \%$ DMSO, $2 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ methoxyPEPy, and 0.1 mg of cell protein $/ \mathrm{mL}$ of buffer. The plates were incubated for 60 min at room temperature with gentle shaking. ${ }^{43}$ Bound radioligand was separated from free ligand by rapid filtration using a Brandel 96-well harvester (Brandel, Gaithersburg MD) on GF/B filters (Unifilter-96, PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Boston MA). Scintillation fluid (Microscint, PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Boston, MA) ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added to each filter, and membrane-bound radioactivity was determined in a microplate scintillation counter (TopCount NXT, PerkinElmer Life Sciences, Downers Grove, IL). Nonspecific binding was defined by binding in the presence of $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ MPEP. Inhibition constants $\left(K_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ were calculated from $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values using GraphPad Prism v. 4.01 nonlinear curve fit and are presented as the average result of three separate experiments $\pm$ standard error of the mean (SEM).

Cell Culture. Secondary cortical astrocytes from 2-4-day-old Sprague Dawley rats were dissected and grown for 1 week under $5 \%$ carbon dioxide at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM), containing $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM glutamine, 20 mM -(hydroxyethyl)piperazine- $N^{\prime}$-(2-ethanesulfonic acid) (HEPES), and antibiotics and antimycotics (Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA) as described. ${ }^{4}$ The cells were trypsinized on day 0 and plated onto poly-D-lysine-coated 96 -well plates. On day 1, the culture medium was changed to fresh medium, supplemented with G-5 growth factor (1:100) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and incubated for 2 days. On day 3, medium was switched to glutamine-free DMEM containing $10 \%$ dialyzed FBS. Assay was performed on day 4.

Calcium Mobilization Assay. Measurement of calcium transients in cultured cortical rat astrocytes was performed as described. ${ }^{19}$ On the day of assay, indicator dye ${ }^{45}$ (Calcium-3 Kit,

Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale CA) was added to the cells, which incubated for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $5 \%$ carbon dioxide. The dye was washed once and replaced with Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad CA) containing 20 mM HEPES and 2.5 mM probenecid, adjusted to pH 7.4 . Test compounds were dissolved in $100 \%$ dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and serially diluted in assay buffer to a $5 \times$ stock solution containing $0.63 \%$ DMSO. The stock solution was added to each well to $0.13 \%$ final DMSO concentration. Test compounds were added 5 min before the addition of an $\mathrm{EC}_{20}$ concentration of glutamate (200-300 nM). Time-dependent calcium flux was measured in a fluorometric imaging plate reader operating at 384 nm (FlexStation II, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Effective concentrations ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ ) were calculated using GraphPad Prism v. 4.01 nonlinear curve-fit and presented as the average result of three separate experiments $\pm$ SEM.
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